Sediment mobility modelling and maerl habitat dynamics in Galway Bay

Dr Siddhi Joshi and Dr Eugene Farrell Discipline of Geography and Ryan Institute National University of Ireland Galway Acknowledgements to Prof. Colin Brown, Dr



Acknowledgements to Prof. Colin Brown, Dr Garret Duffy





NUI Galway OÉ Gaillimh



Roinn Cumarsáide, Gníomhaithe ar son na hAeráide & Comhshaoi Department of Communications, Climate Action & Environment

Post-doctoral Research funded by Geological Survey Ireland – Short Call- 043, PhD by Griffith Research Award



Maerl/Rhodolith Research Objectives

- Utilise oceanographic models for conservation of maerl in Galway Bay
 - Free living coralline red algae found in shallow marine environments
 - Forms dense biogenic gravel beaches composed of maerl debris
- Two main maerl forming species in Ireland:
 - Phymatolithon calcareum and Lithothamnion corallioides
- Determine fundamental sediment dynamics properties of maerl
- Model sediment mobility of maerl beds: Integrate maerl in coupled hydrodynamic-wave-sediment transport models
- Beach Morphodynamics experimental field work



Galway Bay Study Sites



Hydrodynamics at Maerl Beds

- 1. What is the relative importance of the different physical processes operating in Galway Bay for the mobility of maerl-siliciclastic sediment?
- 2. Which hydrodynamic parameters are the most influential on sediment mobility?
- 3. How does their relative importance change from calm to storm conditions?
- 4. Does sediment mobility modelling provide a more useful approach for understanding seafloor dynamics than sediment transport models?
- 5. Can sediment mobility act as a physical surrogate for maerl siliciclastic sediment?
- 6. How can sediment mobility maps be used in marine spatial planning to minimise the disturbance of maerl?



Coupled Modelling

Coupled Modelling Methodology







Flexible Mesh with High Resolution



Storminess in Galway Bay

Summer Conditions

- Spring-neap cycle modelled
- Summer storm
- Anti-clockwise gyre
- Partially enclosed bay by three Arap Islands

Winter Storm Conditions

- Severe storm conditions
- North Atlantic Oscillation Anomaly of +3.54
- Wintertime temperatures, intensity

Frequency of storms



Significant Wave Height - Summer



Significant Wave Height- Winter



Wave Induced Currents – Summer



Wave-Induced Residual Currents-Summer



Wave-Induced Currents- Winter



Maerl found at periphery of residual current gyres during storm conditions







Maerl Hydrodynamic & Physical Properties

Maerl Properties Measurement

Critical Bed Shear Stress Determination

Grain Size and Shape

Settling Velocity

Grain Density

Law of the Wall

Turbulent Kinetic Energy (TKE)

Critical Shields Parameter



Settling Velocity 2m Settling tube 2 video cameras and water bath



Results: Settling Velocity of maerl



Detailed 2D Microscope image analysis



Grain form: Elongation Grain outline: Convexity Combination: Circularity Convexity – proxy for branch density and grain roughness Ferguson and Church (2004) universal equation for settling velocity

W_S =

 $C_1 v + (0.75 C_2 Rgd^3)^{0.5}$

- R = Submerged specific gravity
- g = Gravitational acceleration
- d = Grain diameter
- v = Kinematic viscosity coefficient
- $C_1 = 18 C_2 = 1$ for natural grains
- $C_1 = 24 C_2 = 1.8$ for highly angular quartz grains

Our modification to Ferguson and Church



 C_1 constrained to be $C_1 > 16$

 C_2 parameter linearly vary as a function of diameter($C_2 = C_{21}d$)

Setting Velocity Key Findings

- Maerl has overall a lower settling velocity than quartz grains
- Settling velocity curve at diameters > 2mm for maerl is flat in comparison to the curve for quartz
- Grain shape parameters correlate with grain size and alter settling velocity with size, especially greater drag associated with convexity
- Modified Ferguson and Church shows theoretical model best fit with drag coefficient related C₂ parameter varying linearly with diameter
- Very Irregular shape leads to greater drag on grain, reducing the settling velocity (Komar and Reimers, 1978)

Critical Bed Shear Stress



Rotating Annular Flume

Law of the Wall Results - Intertidal



Log velocity profiles



Critical Bed Shear Stress of Maerl			
	Carraroe -Beach	Aran- Open marine	Muckinish -Intertidal
Law of the Wall (LOTW)	0.16 ± 0.06	0.22 ± 0.07	2.07 ± 0.19
			0.78 ± 0.05
Critical Shields Parameter (Based on LOTW)	0.004 ± 0.002	0.002 ± 0.001	0.033 ± 0.003

Shields Curve with Maerl





Grain Reynolds Number

Critical Bed Shear Stress Key Findings

- Maerl has lower critical bed shear stress than quartz grains due to greater drag associated with grain shape
- Maerl in intertidal beds is least mobile with highest critical bed shear stress as interlocking thalli resist entrainment
- Law of the Wall methodology is most reliable with high R² values based on multi-level measurements
- TKE reliable, but is not able to distinguish the finer effects of grain shape between sites which is distinguished by Law of the Wall
- We show critical Shields parameter of 0.033 and depth averaged critical threshold velocities of 51-57 cm/s
- Maerl forms sub aqueous dunes at velocities 10 cm/s > critical threshold

Grain Size and Sediment Mobility



Spatially Varying Critical Shields Parameter



Sediment Mobility Modelling



- Mobilization Frequency Index is percentage of time grains of a particular size are mobilised in a spring-neap tidal cycle according to the Shields Criterion.
- Peak combined wave-current induced MFI during storm is best physical surrogate for maerl



COMBINED WAVE-CURRENT SEDIMENT MOBILITY INDEX (SMI) IN WINTER STORM CONDITIONS

SMI takes magnitude and frequency of disturbance into account

- SMI partially correlated with MBES backscatter
- Patchiness of Aran maerl beds due to reoccurring disturbance

Residual Currents with Maerl: Winter



Sediment transport vector map



В

Erosion- Deposition Model- Summer



Erosion-Deposition Model- Winter



Sediment Mobility Key Findings

- Wave-dominated disturbance regime
- Peak combined wave-current induced Mobilization Frequency Index during storm conditions is best physical surrogate for maerl-siliciclastic mixtures
 Maerl prefers intermediate sediment mobility
 Sediment Mobility Index partially correlated with MBES backscatter revealing patchiness of dynamic seafloor
 - Mud mobilisation in severe storm conditions

Maerl Beach Morphodynamics Experiment in Greatman's Bay, Carraroe Trá an Doilin



Beach Morphodynamics Experiment: Measurement frame with 8 sensors





Thank You and Questions?

 Joshi S., Duffy G. and Brown C. (2017b) Critical bed shear stress and threshold of motion of maerl biogenic gravel, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 194,128-142. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.06.010</u>

 Joshi S., Duffy G. and Brown C. (2017a) Mobility of maerlsiliciclastic mixtures: Impact of waves, currents and storm events, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 189,173-188. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.03.018

Joshi S., Duffy G. and Brown C. (2014) Settling velocity and grain shape of maerl biogenic gravel, Journal of Sedimentary Research 84,718-727. http://dx.doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2014.51

Maerl: A Rare Seabed Habitat Documentary

https://www.vimeo.com/seabedhabitats/maerldocumentary Password: Muckinish

